IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE:CHHATTISGARH:BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1088 /2002

(PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PETITIONERS : 1. Smt. Malti Sahu,
Wife of Manuram, aged about 35 years,
Elected Panch of Janpad Panchayat,
Gram : Dargahan, Post: Doma,
Distt.: Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh).

2. Smt. Kheleshwari Kiran,
aged about 42 years,
Wife of Jalam Singh, Elected Panch
Janpad Panchayat, Resident of
Village : Bhanpuri, Post:Demar,
Distt. : Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)

3. Rishab Kumar Dewangan,
aged about 25 years,
S/o Ishwarchand, Resident of
Gram & Post : Achhotta,
Distt. : Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 10/12
Presented by Shri ... Sahu ...
dated ... 10.05.02 ...

Versus

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
through the Secretary,
Department of Panchayat and Welfare
Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur.

2. The Collector,
Dhamtari, Distt.:Dhamtari(Chhattisgarh).

3. The Sub-Divisional Officer,
(Competent Authority),Dhamtari.

4. The Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat,
Dhamtari, Distt. : Dhamtari.
(Chhattisgarh).

5. Brijesh Rao Jagtap,
aged about 38 years,
S/o Ishwarran, Elected President
Janpad Panchayat,
Resident of Gram: Darghan,
Marthapara,
Post : Doma, Distt.: Dhamtari
(Chhattisgarh).

6. Smt. Indrawati,
aged about 36 years,
Wife of Lalsingh, Elected Panch
of Ward-No.6,
Resident of Village: Kanidabri,
Tahsil and Distt. : Dhamtari,
(Chhattisgarh).

उपायुक्त, एम. गुप्ता, बिलासपुर, बिलासपुर संभाग

10/5/02

(47)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1088/02 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	03-02-2003 Shri Vishnu Kosta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Adv. for the State. The challenge in this writ petition is to the election of the respondent No.5 as President of Gram Panchayat, Marthapara, Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.). According to the petitioner, the election is bad in law inasmuch as the objections were wrongly overruled. Having heard learned counsel, the Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition because there exists a statutory provision for settlement of election dispute under Section 120 of the Panchayat Raj Adhiniyam 1993 which is by way of an election petition. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **P.C. Naik** **Judge**	

Roshan

GLPRJ—FS/78 — 9/2000 —50,000.